

The Runge-Lenz vector for quantum Kepler problem in the space of positive constant curvature and complex parabolic coordinates

A.A. Bogush, V.S. Otchik, V.M. Red'kov

Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus

Institute for Command Engineers, Ministry for Emergency Services of Belarus

Abstract

By analogy with the Lobachevsky space H_3 , generalized parabolic coordinates (t_1, t_2, ϕ) are introduced in Riemannian space model of positive constant curvature S_3 . In this case parabolic coordinates turn out to be complex-valued and obey additional restrictions involving the complex conjugation. In that complex coordinate system, the quantum-mechanical Coulomb problem is studied: separation of variables is carried out and the wave solutions in terms of hypergeometric functions are obtained. At separating the variables, two parameters k_1 and k_2 are introduced, and an operator B with the eigenvalues $(k_1 + k_2)$ is found, which is related to third component of the known Runge-Lenz vector in space S_3 as follows: $iB = A_3 + i\vec{L}^2$, whereas in the Lobachevsky space as $B = A_3 + \vec{L}^2$. General aspects of the possibility to employ complex coordinate systems in the real space model S_3 are discussed.

1 Introduction

In Euclidean 3-dimension space E_3 there exist 11 coordinate systems [1-3], allowing for the complete separation of variables in the Helmholtz equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \text{const} \right] \Phi(x^1, x^2, x^3) = 0 ; \quad (1)$$

$g^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ stands for the metric tensor of space E_3 specified for curvilinear coordinates (x^1, x^2, x^3) . Solution of the same problem for spaces of constant positive and negative curvature, Riemannian S_3 and Lobachevsky H_3 models was given by Olevsky in [4], see also [5]. It was established that there exist 34 such coordinate systems for hyperbolic space H_3 , whereas in the case of spherical model S_3 the number of those systems is only 6.

This result may seem rather unexpected and even intriguing by some reasons. Indeed, there must exist a limiting procedure from both curved space models H_3 and S_3 to flat space:

$$H_3, S_3 \longrightarrow E_3$$

and it is natural to expect the reduction $34 \longrightarrow 11$, however one can hardly perform the reduction $6 \longrightarrow 11$.

The above asymmetry between H_3 and S_3 may be seen as even more strange if one calls the known relations of these models through the analytical continuation:

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 & \quad u_0^2 + u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 = +R^2 , \\ H_3 & \quad u_0^2 - u_1^2 - u_2^2 - u_3^2 = +R^2 ; \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where R stands for a curvature radius for S_3 and H_3 .

The asymmetry of the models H_3 and S_3 with respect to coordinate systems finds its logical corollary when turning to the study of the quantum mechanical model for a hydrogen atom on the background of a curved space. Firstly, such a model was considered in [6,7,8] where the wave function in spherical coordinates and energy spectrum were established. In particular, an additional degeneracy like in the case of flat space was observed, which presumes existence of a hidden symmetry in the (curved space) problem. In [9-12] the symmetry operators accounting for such additional degeneracy in Kepler problem on curved space background were found for both model H_3 and S_3 , and an analog of the conventional Runge-Lenz vector in the flat space was constructed.

Connection between the Runge-Lenz operator $\vec{A}$ in the quantum Kepler problem and parabolic coordinates in Euclidean space is well known: by solving the Schrödinger equation in these coordinates the eigenfunctions of the third component A_3 arise [13]. Analogous situation exists in the hyperbolic space H_3 but not in the spherical S_3 [14]. In the Lobachevsky space, among 34 coordinates established by Olevsky one may select one special case, parabolic system of coordinates in H_3 , in which the Schrödinger equation allows the separation of variables and the wave functions arisen turn out to be eigenfunctions of the operator $B = A_3 + L^2$. Among six coordinate systems mentioned in [4] an analog of parabolic coordinates is not encountered.

If one looks at 34 and 6 systems in H_3 and S_3 respectively, one can note that all six ones from S_3 have their counterparts in H_3 . The main purpose of the present paper consists in the search of some counterparts of remaining $34 - 6 = 28$ systems. It turns out that such 28 systems in S_3 can be constructed, but they should be complex-valued; to preserve real nature of the geometrical space one must impose additional restrictions including complex conjugation.

In particular, the complex analog for parabolic coordinates in space of the positive curvature S_3 can be introduced and used in studying the quantum mechanical Kepler problem in this space.

2 Complex parabolic coordinates in real space S_3

Let us start with the following fact: from the metric in Lobachevsky space

$$dl^2 = R^2 [d\chi^2 + \sinh^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)], \quad \chi \in [0, +\infty) \quad (3)$$

by means of the formal change $\chi \rightarrow i\chi$, $\sinh \chi \rightarrow i \sin \chi$ one can obtain the corresponding metric of the Riemannian space

$$dl^2 = -R^2 [d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)], \quad \chi \in [0, \pi] . \quad (4)$$

This simple observation on $H_3 - S_3$ connection leads us to interesting consequences. Indeed, let us compare, for instance, wave functions and spectra for hydrogen atom in spaces of negative and constant curvature [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{H_3}, \quad \Psi_{nlm}(\chi, \theta, \phi) &= N S(\chi) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) , \\ S(\chi) &= \sinh^l \chi \exp[(n - l - 1 - \frac{e}{n})\chi] \times \\ F(\frac{e}{n} + l + 1, l - n + 1, 2l + 2; 1 - e^{-2\chi}) , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\epsilon_n = -\frac{e^2}{2n^2} - \frac{1}{2} (n^2 - 1) ; \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{S_3}, \quad \Psi_{nlm}(\chi, \theta, \phi) &= K S(\chi) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) , \\
S(\chi) &= \sin^l \chi \exp[(i(n-l-1) - \frac{e}{n})\chi] \times \\
F(-i\frac{e}{n} + l + 1, l - n + 1, 2l + 2; 1 - e^{-2i\chi}) , & \quad (7) \\
\epsilon_n &= -\frac{e^2}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{2} (n^2 - 1) , \quad e = \frac{\alpha}{R} \frac{M\hbar^2}{R^2} ; & (8)
\end{aligned}$$

quantity $(M\hbar^2/R^2)$ provides us with natural unit for energy, e is a dimensionless parameter characterizing intensity of the Coulomb interaction. One may readily note that these two solutions turn into each other by the following formal substitutions:

$$\chi \longrightarrow i\chi , \quad e \longrightarrow -ie , \quad \epsilon \longrightarrow -\epsilon . \quad (9)$$

This example indicates that the relation between H_3 and S_3 reflected by substitution $\chi \rightarrow i\chi$ is meaningful. In the context of the described above situation with coordinate systems in H_3 and S_3 , let us make use of this correspondence ($\chi \longrightarrow i\chi$) as follows:

Let in the Lobachevsky space H_3 be chosen a coordinate system (ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3) (one of those 34 found by Olevsky), then as a first step one has to establish connection of such a system with spherical one:

$$\rho_k = f_k(\chi, \theta, \phi) , \quad (10)$$

and a second step is to introduce a corresponding coordinate system in the space S_3 through the formal change $\chi \longrightarrow i\chi$:

$$\rho_k = f_k(i\chi, \theta, \phi) . \quad (11)$$

With help of this prescription one can determine 34 coordinate systems in space S_3 in comparison to six ones given in [4]. It turns out that 28 new (added) coordinate systems are complex-valued and therefore additional restrictions should be imposed which involve complex conjugation. All these extra coordinate systems permit the full separation of variables in the Helmholtz equation on the sphere S_3 .

Below, only one example of such coordinates, analog of the parabolic ones in space H_3 , will be examined in detail and applied to the study of the quantum-mechanical Kepler problem on the sphere S_3 .

3 Complex parabolic coordinates in S_3 and the hydrogen atom

In [4] Olevsky had given the following coordinate system (the case XXV) in Lobachevsky space:

$$dl^2 = R^2 \left[\frac{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)}{4(\rho_1 - a)(\rho_1 - b)^2} d\rho_1^2 + \frac{(\rho_2 - \rho_1)}{4(\rho_2 - a)(\rho_2 - b)^2} d\rho_2^2 - (\rho_1 - a)(\rho_2 - a)d\rho_3^2 \right] , \quad (12)$$

where (ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3) are connected with the four "Cartesian" (dimensionless) coordinates (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
x_0^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 &= 1 , \quad x_0 > +1 , \\
\frac{x_2}{x_1} &= \tan[(a-b)\rho_3] , \quad b < \rho_1 < a < \rho_2 , \\
\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{\rho_i - a} + \frac{x_3^2 - x_0^2}{\rho_i - b} + \frac{(x_3 - x_0)^2}{(\rho_i - b)^2} &= 0 , \quad (i = 1, 2) . & (13)
\end{aligned}$$

With $a = +1$, $b = 0$ and notations $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = \sigma^2$, $x_3 - x_0 = U$, $x_3 + x_0 = V$, eqs. (13) give

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 + UV &= -1, \quad x_1 = \sigma \cos \rho_3, \quad x_2 = \sigma \sin \rho_3, \\ \frac{\sigma^2}{\rho_1 - 1} + \frac{UV}{\rho_1} + \frac{U^2}{\rho_1^2} &= 0, \quad \frac{\sigma^2}{\rho_2 - 1} + \frac{UV}{\rho_2} + \frac{U^2}{\rho_2^2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Combining two last relations one obtains

$$\left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 - 1} - \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_2 - 1}\right)\sigma^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2}\right)U^2 = 0, \quad \left(\frac{\rho_1^2}{\rho_1 - 1} - \frac{\rho_2^2}{\rho_2 - 1}\right)\sigma^2 + (\rho_1 - \rho_2)UV = 0,$$

whence having in mind $\sigma^2 + UV = -1$, one gets

$$\frac{U}{V} = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 \rho_2 - \rho_1 - \rho_2}, \quad UV = \rho_1 \rho_2 - \rho_1 - \rho_2,$$

and further

$$U^2 = \rho_1 \rho_2, \quad V = U \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2 - \rho_1 - \rho_2}{\rho_1 \rho_2}.$$

As a result, for U, V, σ we arrive at (take notice that the Lobachevsky model is realized on the upper sheet of hyperboloid $x_0 > +1$, and therefore $x_3 - x_0 \leq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} u = x_3 - x_0 &= -\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}, \quad V = x_3 + x_0 = \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2 - \rho_1 \rho_2}{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}, \\ \sigma &= \sqrt{-1 - UV} = \sqrt{-(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, explicit formulas relating ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 with Cartesian coordinates $(_0, x_l)$ look as

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \sqrt{-(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2)} \cos \rho_3, \quad x_2 = \sqrt{-(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2)} \sin \rho_3, \\ x_3 &= \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2 - 2\rho_1 \rho_2}{2\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}, \quad x_0 = \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and the inverse formulas are

$$\rho_1 = \frac{x_0 - x_3}{x_0 + x}, \quad \rho_2 = \frac{x_0 - x_3}{x_0 - x}, \quad \rho_3 = \arctan \frac{x_2}{x_1}, \quad x = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}. \quad (15)$$

Now, instead of the introduced ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 one can define other coordinates which behave simply in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ (the curvature vanishes). Such a limiting procedure for spherical coordinates of the hyperbolic space H_3 with metric

$$dl^2 = \rho^2 [d\chi^2 + \sinh^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)]$$

going over into spherical ones of the flat space E_3

$$dl^2 = [dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)],$$

looks as follows:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (R\chi) = r, \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (R \sinh \chi) = r. \quad (16)$$

Eliminating x_0 through q_l :

$$\begin{aligned} q_l &= \frac{x_l}{x_0} = \frac{x_l}{+\sqrt{1 + x^2}}, \quad q_l = \tanh \chi \, n_l, \\ n_l &= (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta), \quad q = \sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2} = \tanh \chi; \end{aligned}$$

we can readily see that when $R \rightarrow \infty$ the coordinates q_l will reduce to

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (Rq_l) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (R \tanh \chi \, n_l) = rn_l . \quad (17)$$

So, to have coordinates with the known and understandable behavior in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ we define coordinates t_1, t_2, ϕ :

$$t_1 = 1 - \rho_1 = \frac{q_3 + q}{1 + q} , \quad t_2 = 1 - \rho_2 = \frac{q_3 - q}{1 - q} , \quad \phi = \rho_3 = \arctan \frac{q_2}{q_1} ; \quad (18)$$

in the limit of the flat space they provide us with the known parabolic coordinates (ξ, η, ϕ) (see in [13]):

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (Rt_1) = z + r = \xi , \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (Rt_2) = z - r = -\eta . \quad (19)$$

The metric (12) in coordinates (t_1, t_2, ϕ) takes the form

$$dl^2 = R^2 \left[\frac{t_1 - t_2}{4t_1(1 - t_1)^2} dt_1^2 + \frac{t_2 - t_1}{4t_2(1 - t_2)^2} dt_2^2 - t_1 t_2 d\phi^2 \right] , \\ 0 \leq t_1 \leq 1 , \quad t_2 \leq 0 , \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi . \quad (20)$$

Now, with the help of the rules (10)-(11) one has to define corresponding parabolic coordinates t_1, t_2 on the sphere S_3 . To this end, coordinates (t_1, t_2) in H_3 must be expressed in term of spherical ones (χ, θ) :

$$t_1 = (1 + \cos \theta) \frac{\tanh \chi}{1 + \tanh \chi} , \quad t_2 = (1 - \cos \theta) \frac{-\tanh \chi}{1 - \tanh \chi} . \quad (21)$$

From (21) we get defining relations for corresponding coordinates in S_3

$$t_1 = (1 + \cos \theta) \frac{i \tan \chi}{1 + i \tan \chi} , \quad t_2 = (1 - \cos \theta) \frac{-i \tan \chi}{1 - i \tan \chi} . \quad (22)$$

Take special notice that $(t_1$ and $t_2)$ in (22) are complex-valued expressed through two real (χ, θ) . The inverse formulas are readily found:

$$1 + \cos \theta = t_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{i \tan \chi} \right) , \quad 1 - \cos \theta = t_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{i \tan \chi} \right) ,$$

and therefore

$$\cos \theta = \frac{t_1 - t_2 - 2t_1 t_2}{t_1 - t_2} , \quad i \tan \chi = \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2 - t_1 - t_2} . \quad (23)$$

So defined parametrization of S_3 by coordinates t_1, t_2 can be additionally detailed by the formulas:

$$t_1 = (1 + \cos \theta) \varphi(\chi) , \quad t_2 = (1 - \cos \theta) \varphi^*(\chi) , \\ \varphi(\chi) = \sin^2 \chi + i \sin \chi \cos \chi = \sin \chi \exp \left[i \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \chi \right) \right] . \quad (24)$$

From (24) one can derive the relationship between t_1 and t_2 :

$$t_1 t_1^* = t_1 - t_2 - t_1 t_2 . \quad (25)$$

its existence may evidently be referred to the real nature of the space S_3 . The values of $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ are peculiar:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta = 0, & \quad \implies \quad t_1 = 2 \varphi(\chi), \quad t_2 = 0; \\ \theta = \pi & \quad \implies \quad t_1 = 0; \quad t_2 = 2 \varphi^*(\chi). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In the following, so defined coordinates (t_1, t_2, ϕ) are called parabolic coordinates on the sphere S_3 . In the limit of the flat space, they reduce to the ordinary parabolic coordinates [...] in accordance with

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} (-i\rho t_1) = \xi, \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} (-i\rho t_2) = -\eta. \quad (27)$$

Now, we transform the metric of the space S_3 in spherical coordinates

$$dl^2 = -R^2 [d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)],$$

to complex parabolic t_1, t_2, ϕ . As a first step, with the help of

$$\sin^2 \theta = t_2 \frac{1-iq}{-iq} t_1 \frac{1+iq}{iq} = t_1 t_2 \frac{1+q^2}{q^2}, \quad \sin^2 \chi = \frac{q^2}{1+q^2},$$

we get

$$\sin^2 \chi \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 = t_1 t_2 d\phi^2.$$

As a second step, we have

$$(d\theta)^2 = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} (d \cos \theta)^2 = \frac{1}{t_1 t_2} \frac{q^2}{1+q^2} [d(\frac{t_1 + t_2 - 2t_1 t_2}{t_1 - t_2})]^2,$$

or

$$\sin^2 \chi (d\theta)^2 = \frac{q^4}{(1+q^2)^2} \frac{4}{t_1 t_2 (t_1 - t_2)^4} [t_2(t_2 - 1) dt_1 - t_1(t_1 - 1) dt_2]^2;$$

so that

$$\sin^2 \chi (d\theta)^2 = \frac{1}{4(1-t_1)^2(1-t_2)^2 t_1 t_2} [t_2(t_2 - 1) dt_1 - t_1(t_1 - 1) dt_2]^2.$$

Finally, taking into account relations

$$i \tan \chi = \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2 - t_1 - t_2}, \implies \frac{id\chi}{\cos^2 \chi} = [dt_1 \frac{2(1-t_2)}{(2-t_1-t_2)^2} - dt_2 \frac{2(1-t_1)}{(2-t_1-t_2)^2}] ,$$

and

$$\cos^2 \chi = \frac{1}{1 + \tan^2 \chi} = \frac{(2-t_1-t_2)^2}{4(1-t_1)(1-t_2)},$$

we get

$$(d\chi)^2 = \frac{-1}{4(1-t_1)^2(1-t_2)^2} [(1-t_2)dt_1 - (1-t_1)dt_2]^2.$$

Therefore, for the metric in parabolic coordinates in S_3 we have arrived at the form

$$dl^2 = -R^2 \left[\frac{t_2 - t_1}{4t_1(1-t_1)^2} dt_1^2 + \frac{t_1 - t_2}{4t_2(1-t_2)^2} dt_2^2 + t_1 t_2 d\phi^2 \right]. \quad (28)$$

Formally, this formula differs from its counterpart in the space H_3 only by presence of (-1) in the expression for dl^2 .

The Schrödinger Hamiltonian for the Kepler problem

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} - \frac{e}{q}, \quad (29)$$

will take the following explicit form

$$H = 2 \frac{1-t_1}{t_1-t_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} t_1(1-t_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + 2 \frac{1-t_2}{t_2-t_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} t_2(1-t_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} - \frac{1}{2t_1 t_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} - ie \frac{2-t_1-t_2}{t_1-t_2}. \quad (30)$$

This Hamiltonian may be referred to analogous one in H_3 with the help of formal changes: $e \rightarrow -ie$ and $H \rightarrow -H$.

Now, acting in the way used for Lobachevsky space [14], one can perform the separation of variables in the Schrödinger equation in the spherical space S_3 :

$$\Psi(t_1, t_2, \phi) = f_1(t_1) f_2(t_2) e^{im\phi}. \quad (31)$$

From the equation $H\Psi = \epsilon \Psi$ it follows

$$f_2 \frac{2(1-t_1)}{t_1-t_2} \frac{d}{dt_1} t_1(1-t_1) \frac{d}{dt_1} f_1 + f_1 \frac{2(1-t_2)}{t_2-t_1} \frac{d}{dt_2} t_2(1-t_2) \frac{d}{dt_2} f_2 + \frac{m^2}{2t_1 t_2} f_1 f_2 - ie \frac{2-t_1-t_2}{t_1-t_2} f_1 f_2 = \epsilon f_1 f_2. \quad (32)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{f_1} (1-t_1) \frac{d}{dt_1} t_1(1-t_1) \frac{d}{dt_1} f_1 - \frac{m^2}{4t_1} + \frac{ie}{2} t_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} t_1 \\ & \frac{1}{f_2} (1-t_2) \frac{d}{dt_2} t_2(1-t_2) \frac{d}{dt_2} f_2 + \frac{m^2}{4t_2} + \frac{ie}{2} t_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} t_2 + (k_1 - k_2) = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where two separation constants k_1 and k_2 are introduced:

$$k_1 - k_2 = -ie. \quad (34)$$

As a result, we arrive at the system of two 2-order ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t_1) \frac{d}{dt_1} t_1(1-t_1) \frac{d}{dt_1} f_1 + \left(\frac{ie-\epsilon}{2} t_1 - \frac{m^2}{4t_1} + k_1 \right) f_1 &= 0, \\ (1-t_2) \frac{d}{dt_2} t_2(1-t_2) \frac{d}{dt_2} f_2 + \left(\frac{-ie-\epsilon}{2} t_2 - \frac{m^2}{4t_1} + k_2 \right) f_2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Analogous system of equation in the Lobachevsky space has the form [14]

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t_1) \frac{d}{dt_1} t_1(1-t_1) \frac{d}{dt_1} f_1 + \left(\frac{-e+\epsilon}{2} t_1 - \frac{m^2}{4t_1} + k_1 \right) f_1 &= 0, \\ (1-t_2) \frac{d}{dt_2} t_2(1-t_2) \frac{d}{dt_2} f_2 + \left(\frac{e+\epsilon}{2} t_2 - \frac{m^2}{4t_1} + k_2 \right) f_2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Solutions of eqs. (35) and (36) can be searched for with the help of substitution

$$f_1 = t_1^{a_1} (1 - t_1)^{b_1} S_1(t_1) , \quad f_2 = t_2^{a_2} (1 - t_2)^{b_2} S_2(t_2) . \quad (37)$$

Below, all calculations will be done for the case (35); at any point, transition to H_3 space is accomplished by the formal changes $\epsilon \rightarrow -\epsilon$, $-ie \rightarrow e$. It suffices to consider in detail only the first equation for $f_1(t_1)$ (index 1 is omitted below)

$$\begin{aligned} & t(1-t) S'' + S' [2a(1-t) - 2bt + (1-2t)] \\ & + [a(a-1)(\frac{1}{t} - 1) - 2ab + b(b-1)(\frac{1}{t} - 1) + a(\frac{1}{t} - 2) - b(2 - \frac{1}{1-t}) \\ & + \frac{ie - \epsilon}{2}(\frac{1}{1-t} - 1) - \frac{m^2}{4}(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{1-t}) + k\frac{1}{1-t}] S = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Both terms proportional to t^{-1} and $(1-t)^{-1}$ may be eliminated from the equation by adding the requirements:

$$a^2 - \frac{m^2}{4} = 0 , \quad b^2 + \frac{ie - \epsilon}{2} - \frac{m^2}{4} + k = 0 ; \quad (39)$$

then eq. (38) results in

$$\begin{aligned} & t(1-t) S'' + S' [(2a+1) - (2a+2b+2)t] \\ & - [a(a+1) + 2ab + b(b+1) + \frac{ie - \epsilon}{2}] S = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

That is, $S(t)$ turns out to be a hypergeometric function $S(t) = F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; t)$ whose parameters are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha + \beta + 1 = 2a + 2b + 2 , \\ & \alpha\beta = a(a+1) + 2ab + b(b+1) + \frac{ie - \epsilon}{2} , \quad \gamma = 2a + 1 , \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

which implies

$$\alpha = a + b\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\epsilon - ie}{2}} , \quad \beta = a + b\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\epsilon - ie}{2}} , \quad \gamma = 2a + 1 . \quad (42)$$

Thus, the quantum mechanical Kepler problem in complex parabolic coordinates t_1, t_2, ϕ has been solved in hypergeometric functions. The separation constants k_1 and k_2 are connected by the relation (34):

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1 = t_1^{a_1} (1 - t_1)^{b_1} S_1 , \quad f_2 = t_2^{a_2} (1 - t_2)^{b_2} S_2 ; \\ & S_1 = F(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; t_1) , \quad S_2 = F(\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2; t_2) ; \\ & a_1^2 = \frac{m^2}{4} , \quad a_2^2 = \frac{m^2}{4} ; \\ & b_1^2 = \frac{\epsilon - ie}{2} + \frac{m^2}{4} - k_1 , \quad b_2^2 = \frac{\epsilon + ie}{2} + \frac{m^2}{4} - k_2 ; \\ & \alpha_1 = a_1 + b_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\epsilon - ie}{2}} , \quad \alpha_2 = a_2 + b_2 + \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\epsilon + ie}{2}} \\ & \beta_1 = a_1 + b_1 + \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\epsilon - ie}{2}} , \quad \beta_2 = a_2 + b_2 + \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\epsilon + ie}{2}} ; \\ & \gamma_1 = 2a_1 + 1 ; \quad \gamma_2 = 2a_2 + 1 . \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Some additional study is required to obtain the physical wave solutions of the Schrödinger equation.

4 The Runge-Lenz vector in S_3 and complex parabolic coordinates

At separating the variables in Schrödinger equation two constants were introduced k_1, k_2 ; the problem is to find an operator that is diagonalized on wave functions (31) with eigenvalues $(k_1 + k_2)$:

$$\hat{B} f_1 f_2 e^{im\phi} = (k_1 + k_2) f_1 f_2 e^{im\phi} . \quad (44)$$

Taking into account (36), one can obtain for the operator $\hat{B}$ the following representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B} = & -(1-t_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} t_1(1-t_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} - t_1 \frac{(-H+ie)}{2} - \frac{1}{4t_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \\ & -(1-t_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} t_2(1-t_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} - t_2 \frac{(-H-ie)}{2} - \frac{1}{4t_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} , \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

or after substituting the expression for H from (30)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B} = & -ie \frac{t_1+t_2-2t_1t_2}{t_1-t_2} + \frac{2t_2(1-t_1)(1-2t_1)}{t_1-t_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \frac{2t_1(1-t_2)(1-2t_2)}{t_2-t_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + \\ & + \frac{2t_1t_2(1-t_1)^2}{t_1-t_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1^2} + \frac{2t_2t_1(1-t_2)^2}{t_2-t_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2^2} - \frac{t_1+t_2}{2t_1t_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} ; \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

note the identity

$$-ie \frac{t_1+t_2-2t_1t_2}{t_1-t_2} = -ie \cos \theta = -ie \frac{q_3}{q} . \quad (47)$$

In H_3 and S_3 the quantum mechanical Runge-Lenz operator is constructed in term of momentum and orbital momentum by the formula [11,12.]

$$\vec{A} = e \frac{\vec{q}}{q} + \frac{1}{2} ([\vec{L}, \vec{P}] - [\vec{P}, \vec{L}]) , \quad (48)$$

where

$$\vec{P} = (P_i), \quad P_i = -i(\delta_{ij} \mp q_i q_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} , \quad \vec{L} = [\vec{q}, \vec{P}] , \quad (49)$$

upper sign corresponds to the model H_3 , lower corresponds to S_3 model; operators and $\vec{L}, \vec{P}$ are measured in units $\hbar$ and $\hbar/\rho$ respectively. In correspondence with symmetry of space models, the components of $\vec{P}, \vec{L}$ obey the commutation relations of Lie algebras $so(3.1)$ and $so(4)$:

$$[L_a, L_b] = i \epsilon_{abc} L_c , \quad [L_a, P_b] = i \epsilon_{abc} P_c , \quad [P_a, P_b] = \pm i \epsilon_{abc} L_c .$$

As in the above expression for $\hat{B}$, specific term $-ie q_3/q$ is presented, (in the model H_3 we see the term $e q_3/q$), it is natural to look for certain relationship between $\hat{B}$ and A_3 – they look as follows (all details are omitted here)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{in } H_3 , \quad \hat{B} = (A + \vec{L}^2) , \\ & \text{in } S_3 , \quad i \hat{B} = (A + i \vec{L}^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

References

- [1] Robertson H.P. Bemerkung über separierbare Systeme in der Wellenmechanik. Math. Ann. – 1927. – Bd. 98. – S. 749.
- [2] Eisenhart L.P. Separable systems of Staekel. Ann. Math. – 1934. – Vol. 35. – P. 284.
- [3] Eisenhart L.P. Separable systems in Euclidean space. Phys. Phys. 1934, Vol. 45, P. 427; Enumeration of potentials for which Schrodinger one particle equations are separable. Phys. Rev. – 1948. – Vol. 74. – P. 87-89.
- [4] Olevsky M.N. 3-orthogonal systems in spaces of constant curvature in which equation $\Delta_2 U + \lambda U = 0$ allows for the full separation of variables. Math. Collection. 1950, Tom 27. P. 379-426.
- [5] Schum A.I. The problems of separating the variables in the equation $\Delta_2 V = 0$ in spaces of constant curvature. Math. Collection. 1959. – Tom 47, no 4. P. 495-512.
- [6] Schrödinger E. A method of determining quantum-mechanical eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. Proc. Roy. Irish. Soc. A. – 1940. – Vol. 46, n. 1. – P. 9-16.
- [7] Stevenson A.F. Note on the "Kepler problem" in a spherical space, and the factorization method of solving eigenvalue problem, Phys. Rev., V. 59 (1941), P. 842-843.
- [8] Infeld L., Schild A. A note on the Kepler problem in a space of constant negative curvature. Phys. Rev. – 1945. – Vol. 67, n. 2. – P. 121-122.
- [9] Higgs P.W. Dynamical symmetries in a spherical geometry. I. J. Phys. A. – 1979. – Vol. 12, n. 3. – P. 309-323.
- [10] Leemon H.I. Dynamical symmetries in a spherical geometry. II. J. Phys. A. – 1979. – Vol. 12, n. 14. – P. 489-501.
- [11] Kurochkin Yu.A., Otchik V.S. The analog of the Runge-Lenz vector and the energy spectrum for the Kepler problem on the three-dimensional sphere, Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR, V. 23 (1979), P. 987-990 .
- [12] Bogush A.A., Kurochkin Yu.A., Otchik V.S. The quantum-mechanical Kepler problem in three-dimensional Lobachevsky space, Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR, V. 24 (1980), no. 1, P. 19-22 .
- [13] Landau L.D., Lifshitz E.M. Quantum mechanics. Nonrelativistic theory, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1975.
- [14] Bogush A.A., Otchik V.S., Red'kov V.M. Variable separation for the Schrödinger equation and normalized eigenfunctions of the Kepler problem in three-dimensional constant curvature spaces, Vestzi Akad. Nauk BSSR, 1983, no. 3, P. 56-62.
- [15] Bogush A.A., Kurochkin Yu.A., Otchik V.S. Coulomb scattering in the Lobachevsky space, Nonlinear Phenomena in Complex Systems, V. 6 (2003), pp. 894-897.